

The correspondence section is a public forum and, as such, is not peer-reviewed. EHP is not responsible for the accuracy, currency, or reliability of personal opinion expressed herein; it is the sole responsibility of the authors. EHP neither endorses nor disputes their published commentary.

The Global Burden of Air Pollution on Mortality: The Need to Include Exposure to Household Biomass Fuel-Derived Particulates

doi:10.1289/ehp.1002397

Anenberg et al. (2010) demonstrated that global mortality associated with outdoor ozone and particulate matter (PM) exposure has been underestimated and that anthropogenic atmospheric PM rather than ozone is the main contributor to death. Although we acknowledge that their investigation was concerned with outdoor air pollution alone, we feel that attention should be drawn to the burden of disease from household air pollution.

Half the world's population is exposed to fine PM [$< 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ in aerodynamic diameter ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$)] in their own homes as a consequence of using biomass fuels such as wood, charcoal, and animal/crop residues for cooking, lighting, and heating. Such exposure is prolonged, extensive, and overlooked by examination of atmospheric models alone (Torres-Duque et al. 2008).

Combustion of biomass fuels has been repeatedly demonstrated to produce high concentrations of domestic air pollution, with $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ exposures extending in to the milligram per cubic meter range, orders of magnitude above concentrations from exposure to anthropogenic particulate pollution outdoors (Regalado et al. 2006). Rural populations, and women in particular, are likely to have particularly high indoor exposures because of the extended time spent on cooking and household activity (Mestl et al. 2007).

Anenberg et al. (2010) used exposure-response functions derived from epidemiological studies of outdoor air, which emphasize cardiopulmonary mortality in older cohorts. Household air pollution from biomass fuel combustion contributes to chronic respiratory disease and cardiorespiratory events. However, it is particularly implicated in pneumonia in young children (Dherani et al. 2008) and has been ranked the 11th most important risk factor in global mortality, predominantly because of the association with infection (Ezzati et al. 2004). These early deaths would contribute considerably to the estimate of years of life lost due to PM.

We agree with Anenberg et al. (2010) that anthropogenic PM is an important global cause of premature death. However, outdoor levels report only part of the picture and may significantly underestimate the total PM-related mortality burden.

Recent work (Pope et al. 2009) has brought together data on exposure-response functions for outdoor air pollution and cigarette smoking, and there is a need for additional similar work to integrate studies on indoor biomass combustion (Ezzati et al. 2000). These studies would help clarify the exposure-response function of household air pollution as well as assist in the important process of identifying the most cost-efficient means of reducing exposure among the 3 billion people who bear the health burden from high particulate concentrations at home.

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Jamie Rylance

Duncan G. Fullerton

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

Liverpool, United Kingdom

E-mail: jrylance@liv.ac.uk

Sean Semple

Scottish Centre for Indoor Air

Institute of Applied Health Sciences

University of Aberdeen

Aberdeen, United Kingdom

Jon G. Ayres

Institute of Occupational and

Environmental Medicine

University of Birmingham

Edgbaston, Birmingham, United Kingdom

REFERENCES

- Anenberg SC, Horowitz LW, Tong DQ, West JJ. 2010. An estimate of the global burden of anthropogenic ozone and fine particulate matter on premature human mortality using atmospheric modeling. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:1189–1195; doi:10.1289/ehp.0901220 [Online 9 April 2010].
- Dherani M, Pope D, Mascarenhas M, Smith KR, Weber M, Bruce N. 2008. Indoor air pollution from unprocessed solid fuel use and pneumonia risk in children aged under five years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bull WHO* 86(5):390–398.
- Ezzati M, Rodgers A, Lopez AD, Vander Hoorn S, Murray CJL. 2004. Mortality and burden of disease attributable to individual risk factors. In: *Comparative Quantification of Health Risks: Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attribution to Selected Major Risk Factors* (Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray CJL, eds). Geneva:World Health Organization, 2141–2166. Available: <http://www.who.int/publications/cra/chapters/volume2/2141-2166.pdf> [accessed 3 September 2010].
- Ezzati M, Saleh H, Kammen DM. 2000. The contributions of emissions and spatial microenvironments to exposure to indoor air pollution from biomass combustion in Kenya. *Environ Health Perspect* 108:833–839.
- Mestl HE, Aunan K, Seip HM, Wang S, Zhao Y, Zhang D. 2007. Urban and rural exposure to indoor air pollution from domestic biomass and coal burning across China. *Sci Total Environ* 377(1):12–26.
- Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Krewski D, Jerrett M, Shi Y, Calle EE, et al. 2009. Cardiovascular mortality and exposure to airborne fine particulate matter and cigarette smoke: shape of the exposure-response relationship. *Circulation* 120(11):941–948.

Regalado J, Perez-Padilla R, Sansores R, Paramo Ramirez JJ, Brauer M, Pare P, et al. 2006. The effect of biomass burning on respiratory symptoms and lung function in rural Mexican women. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 174(8):901–905.

Torres-Duque C, Maldonado D, Perez-Padilla R, Ezzati M, Viegi G. 2008. Biomass fuels and respiratory diseases: a review of the evidence. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 5(5):577–590.

The Global Burden of Air Pollution on Mortality: Anenberg et al. respond

doi:10.1289/ehp.1002397R

We appreciate the comments by Rylance et al. stimulated by our analysis of the global burden of disease due to outdoor air pollution (Anenberg et al. 2010). We acknowledge that indoor air pollution is—and has long been recognized as—a significant burden on public health, particularly in developing countries where solid fuels are used extensively for cooking and heating (e.g., Smith 1987; Smith et al. 2004), but these comments on indoor air pollution do not affect our conclusions about the impacts of outdoor air pollution on global mortality.

In the 2004 World Health Organization (WHO) comparative risk assessment, Ezzati et al. (2004) estimated that indoor air pollution associated with household use of solid fuels is responsible for more premature mortalities than outdoor air pollution. Although our estimate of premature mortality due to outdoor air pollution is higher than the previous WHO estimate (Cohen et al. 2004), it should only be compared with indoor air pollution when methods for both risk factors are updated consistently, as in the forthcoming Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation 2010).

We agree with Rylance et al. that the approach used by Pope et al. (2009) to integrate outdoor air pollution and cigarette smoking on a common scale would potentially also be useful for analyzing indoor air pollution. More broadly, additional research is needed to understand and differentiate indoor and outdoor exposures to multiple air pollutants and their ultimate effects on health in different parts of the world.

S.C.A. and J.J.W. received unrestricted funding from the Merck Foundation to support a related project, and the Merck Foundation was unaware of this research. D.Q.T. is an employee of Science and Technology Corporation, working at National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration facilities. L.W.H. declares he has no actual or potential competing financial interests

Susan C. Anenberg

J. Jason West

University of North Carolina

at Chapel Hill

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

E-mail: jjwest@email.unc.edu

Larry W. Horowitz

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration
Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
Princeton, New Jersey

Daniel Q. Tong

Science and Technology Corporation
Silver Spring, Maryland

REFERENCES

- Anenberg SC, Horowitz LW, Tong DQ, West JJ. 2010. An estimate of the global burden of anthropogenic ozone and fine particulate matter on premature human mortality using atmospheric modeling. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:1189–1195; doi:10.1289/ehp.0901220 [Online 9 April 2010].
- Cohen AJ, Anderson HR, Ostro B, Pandey KD, Krzyzanowski M, Künzli N, et al. 2004. Urban air pollution. In: *Comparative Quantification of Health Risks: Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attribution to Selected Major Risk Factors* (Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray CJL, eds). Geneva: World Health Organization, 1353–1434. Available: <http://www.who.int/publications/cra/chapters/volume2/1353-1434.pdf> [accessed 3 September 2010].
- Ezzati M, Rodgers A, Lopez AD, Vander Hoorn S, Murray CJL. 2004. Mortality and burden of disease attributable to individual risk factors. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. 2010. Global Burden of Diseases Study. Available: <http://www.global-burden.org/> [accessed 3 September 2010].
- Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Krewski D, Jerrett M, Shi Y, Calle EE, et al. 2009. Cardiovascular mortality and exposure to airborne fine particulate matter and cigarette smoke: shape of the exposure-response relationship. *Circulation* 120(11):941–948.
- Smith KR. 1987. *Biofuels, Air Pollution, and Health: A Global Review*. Plenum, New York: Plenum.
- Smith KR, Mehta S, Maeusezahl-Feuz M. 2004. Indoor air pollution from household use of solid fuels. In: *Comparative Quantification of Health Risks: Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attribution to Selected Major Risk Factors* (Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray CJL, eds). Geneva: World Health Organization, 1435–1494. Available: <http://www.who.int/publications/cra/chapters/volume2/1435-1494.pdf> [accessed 3 September 2010].

A Hybrid Approach for Predicting PM_{2.5} Exposure

doi:10.1289/ehp.1002706

van Donkelaar et al. (2010) integrated the satellite-based aerosol optical depth (AOD) and the chemical transport models (CTM) to develop concentrations of particulate matter < 2.5 µm in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{2.5}). Because spatiotemporal coverage of *in situ* air pollution monitoring is limited, the integration of AODs with CTM is the wave of the future for developing time–space (and potentially source) resolved estimates of air quality. However, these methodologies have inherent limitations that the authors failed to address. van Donkelaar et al. (2010) based their research on work of Liu et al. (2004, 2007), but later research from the same authors (Paciorek and Liu 2009) acknowledged the limitations of Liu et al.'s earlier research. van Donkelaar et al. (2010) cited this research but did not address these limitations.

van Donkelaar et al. (2010) conceptualized that PM_{2.5} = $\eta \times \text{AODs}$, where η is influenced by relative humidity (≥ 35 and $\geq 50\%$ for North America and Europe, respectively) and computed using AOD_c, the AOD from three-dimensional chemical transport models (3-D CTM). This has several problems: Failing to account for other factors, including boundary layer height, atmospheric pressure, and surface characteristics, can bias PM_{2.5} prediction. van Donkelaar et al. computed η at $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ and then interpolated η at $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$, which must have resulted in the same value of η for all 10 km AODs within each $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ area (at the equator), and hence strong spatial autocorrelation in the predicted PM_{2.5}. Because the average lifetime of aerosols is one week and aerosols move across geographic space and time, AODs (i.e., the extinction of beam power due to the presence of aerosols) records a very strong spatiotemporal structure. Failing to account for spatiotemporal structure in AODs is likely to produce biased estimates of PM_{2.5} (Kumar 2010).

The CTM is a data-driven methodology, and the robustness of its output is largely dictated by input emission and meteorological data. Because such data are rarely complete and 100% accurate, it is difficult to accurately predict PM_{2.5} and AOD_c using CTM. Researchers are moving toward data assimilation techniques, in which predicted values are calibrated with respect to *in situ* measurements. van Donkelaar et al. failed to take advantage of data assimilation techniques to calibrate AOD_c.

Because of problems with version 5.0 or earlier of AODs (Levy et al. 2006), NASA is developing a Deep Blue version to estimate AODs over bright surfaces (Hsu 2010). Given the methodological constraints described above, I question van Donkelaar et al.'s (2010) conclusions. In their figures, the predicted PM_{2.5} in sub-Saharan Africa was unexpectedly high. It is unclear how coarse dust in that part of the world could result in high PM_{2.5} concentrations. This must be a result of the overestimated AODs due to surface brightness.

The integration of AODs and CTM, coupled with spatiotemporal dynamic modeling, holds great potential to develop time–space resolved estimates of PM. Future research should be geared toward assimilation of the strengths of these methodologies. CTM has a great temporal resolution and is not constrained by cloud cover or biased by surface brightness, but the reliability of CTM output is dictated by the quality of input data. AODs have great spatial resolution (10 km) and can be estimated at finer spatial resolutions (5 km and 2 km), which is likely to be more robust than the coarse resolution AOD (Kumar et al.

2007); however, under cloud-free conditions it captures only two snapshots (at ~ 1030 hours and ~ 1330 hours local overpass time of the Terra and Aqua satellites) per day. Calibrating AODs for the problems mentioned above, daily (morning and afternoon) AODs can be produced globally. The best approach to integrating the strengths of these two methodologies would be to *a*) develop an empirical relationship between the calibrated AODs and AOD_c (estimated using a nested grid at a fine spatial resolution); *b*) utilize this relationship to predict a calibrated AOD_c ($\hat{\text{AOD}}_c$) for all data points with available AOD_c; *c*) utilize $\hat{\text{AOD}}_c$ to predict PM_{2.5c} concentrations; *d*) develop an empirical relationship between predicted PM_{2.5c} and *in situ* measurements of PM_{2.5} with the adequate control for spatiotemporal structures and other subsidiary variables; and *e*) utilize this empirical relationship to develop the calibrated $\hat{\text{PM}}_{2.5c}$ (PM_{2.5c} predicted using the empirical model) for all data points for which PM_{2.5c} is available. $\hat{\text{PM}}_{2.5c}$ in turn, can be aggregated and/or interpolated to any spatiotemporal scales using time–space Kriging, an interpolation method that minimizes error in the predicted values across geographic space and time.

The author declares he has no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Naresh Kumar

University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa

E-mail: naresh-kumar@uiowa.edu

REFERENCES

- Hsu NC. 2010. Long-Term Global Aerosol Data Sets: Using Deep Blue to Synergize SeaWiFS, MODIS, and VIIRS Observations [Abstract]. Available: http://eosps.gsfc.nasa.gov/directory/get_team_members.php?prog_id=NNH06ZDA001N-EOS-37&cat_id=0 [accessed 7 September 2010].
- Kumar N. 2010. What can affect AOD–PM_{2.5} association? *Environ Health Perspect* 118:A109–A110.
- Kumar N, Chu AD, Foster A. 2007. An empirical relationship between PM_{2.5} and aerosol optical depth in Delhi Metropolitan. *Atmos Environ* 41(21):4492–4503.
- Levy RC, Remer LA, Mattoo S, Vermote EF, Kaufman YJ. 2007. Second-generation operational algorithm: retrieval of aerosol properties over land from inversion of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer spectral reflectance. *J Geophys Res* 112:D13211; doi:10.1029/2006JD007811 [Online 13 July 2007].
- Liu Y, Koutrakis P, Kahn R, Turqueti S, Yantosca RM. 2007. Estimating fine particulate matter component concentrations and size distributions using satellite-retrieved fractional aerosol optical depth: part 2—a case study. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 57(11):1360–1369.
- Liu Y, Park RJ, Jacob DJ, Li QB, Kilaru V, Sarnat JA. 2004. Mapping annual mean ground-level PM_{2.5} concentrations using Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol optical thickness over the contiguous United States. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 109:D22206; doi:10.1029/2004JD005025 [Online 24 November 2004].
- Paciorek CJ, Liu Y. 2009. Limitations of remotely sensed aerosol as a spatial proxy for fine particulate matter. *Environ Health Perspect* 117:904–909.
- van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Brauer M, Kahn R, Levy R, Verduzco C, et al. 2010. Global estimates of ambient fine particulate matter concentrations from satellite-based aerosol optical depth: development and application. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:847–855.